Cochlear implant candidacy

Audibility and Cochlear Implant referral









PUC-SP











Staff and Faculty Alfredo Tabith Jr Altair C. Pupo (Lila) Beatriz C. A. Mendes Beatriz C. A. Novaes Clay Rienzo Balieiro Doris Ruthi Lewis Fernando L. Carvalho e Silva Luisa Barzaghi Ficker M. Angelina Nardi Martinez Mariana Fávero Vera Cury IC, Mestrandos e doutorandos











5570 cities 80% have less than 20.000 inhabitants















% of Private Health Care Plans per state (Brazil - june/2015)



75 to 80% of the population depends on SUS (Public Health System)

Divisões Territoriais	2010 🔶	0 a 5 anos, 2010	6 a 14 anos, 2010	15 a 59 anos, 2010 🔶	60 anos ou mais, 2010 🛛 🗍
Brasil	9.717.318	114.554	501.647	4.654.875	4.446.242

Tabela - Deficiência auditiva (pessoas)





Context



TIMELINE

PUBLIC POLICIES IN BRASIL

17.09.1993 Portaria nº 126 SAS/MS: implante coclear.

20.10.1999

Portaria nº 1278 GM/MS: Alta Complexidade Hospitalar: IC.

14.11.2000

Portaria nº 432 Alta Complexidade Ambulatorial: Concessão de aparelhos de amplificação sonora individuais (AASI).

07.10.2004 Portaria SAS/MS nº 587: Redes Estaduais de Atenção à Saúde Auditiva - AASIs.



28.09.2004

Portaria GM/MS n.º 2.073: Política Nacional de Atenção à Saúde Auditiva

08.10.2004



Portaria SAS/MS nº 589: Procedimentos de atenção à saúde auditiva no SIA/SUS.





03.12.2012 Portaria nº 126 SAS/MS: implante coclear.







Portaria Nº 2.776: Implante coclear bilateral e próteses auditivas ancoradas no osso.

Hearing Aids and Cochlear Implants Public System (SUS) - 1993 to 2012



Fonte: Ministério da Saúde - Cadastro Nacional dos Estabelecimentos de Saúde do Brasil - CNES

August, 2014 to August, 2015 1508 CI



Candidacy for Cochlear Implants is Audiological and Funtional issue – Contributing for ICF



Solution Contraction

Ē



Someone's Hearing

ICF

International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health

Longitudinal data











Transversal data

ICD

International Classification of Diseases



Candidacy for Cochlear Implants is Audiological and Functional issues – Contributing for ICF

- Audiological Criteria is a starting point, but to establish priorities within a limited budget, there are other needs involving longitudinal data and a multidisciplinary approach, considering audiological background is very heterogeneous within the country.
- The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health, known more commonly as ICF, is a classification of health and health-related domains. As the functioning and disability of an individual occurs in a context, ICF also includes a list of environmental factors.
- ICF Core Sets facilitate the description of functioning in clinical practice by providing lists of categories that are relevant for specific health conditions and health care contexts to allow a user-friendly description of functioning and disability, and to support the applicability of ICF in practice



Hearing Loss Classification based on dynamic relation among: degree, configuration and amplified SII 65, using DSLm[i/o]v.5

✓ Algorithm determination for groups, sensible to threshold and configuration



€∂ Derdia

78 ears - Children 4 to 80 mo

• Cluster analysis (Johnson e Wichern, 1992): groups internally homogeneous for thresholds and heterogeneous among them.

- 5 Groups: Gr1, Gr2, Gr3, Gr4 e Gr5
 - Gr1, Gr2 e Gr3 predominantly profound losses
 - Gr4 and Gr5 moderate and severe

Proposed SII 65 value intervals (Int_{SII}) for predicting functional audibility for speech sounds



Int _{sıı≤35}	Gr1, Gr2 e Gr3	pouca audibilidade indicação IC
Int _{sii36-55}	Gr4	variabilidade de valores SII
Int _{sii≥56}	Gr5	cuidados adequação alvo-saída

€*?* Derdic

1st interval – SII < 35% (Gr1, Gr2 e Gr3)

- The other intervals were obtained considering the relationship between sensibility and specificity – ROC Curve (Park et al., 2004) – establishing the values for boundaries.
- Estimated SII 65 can anticipate auditory behavior when rehabilitation does not occur within the same service.
- It can be a starting point for clinical decisions.



SII – Speech Inteligibility Index - Effects of distance Possible contribuition for ICF Core set

SII 75, SII 65 e SII 55 values and relationship with proposed SII 65 intervals (Int_{SII})

- Intensity and distance
- Subject group shift Int_{SII}
 - Gr4 Int_{SII36-55} more sensitive to distance factor
- Clinical Implications

Figueiredo& Novaes 2013

Reference curves for SII 55 e SII 65

 Reference curves – average for frequencies 500, 1000 e 2000Hz – adjusted regression models (Harris e Boyd, 1995)





Reference curves for 95%

Orelha	Transdutor	500 Hz	2000 Hz	4000 Hz	clique	p
Direita	Via Zérea	100	100	100 (-)	90 (-)	
	Via óssea		-		50 (-)]
	Via aérea	100	90	90	NP	
Esquerda	Via óssea					

ABR-FS + AESS - 4 months

Failed NHS 39 weeks; 3,475kg; 48cm Diag: 4 months Etiology: unknow H Aids and Intervention: 4 months



VRA-8 months





Case Ib

Auditory, Speech and Language Development Pre-implant







Case Mb

O quadro abaixo indica os limiares tonais estimados (dBeHL) aplicando-se a correção para os limiares de PEATE Tone Burst (Stapells, 2010):

orelha	ASSR 500Hz	PEATE 500 Hz	ASSR 2kHz	PEATE 2kHz
OD	90dBcgHL	90 dBeHL	105 dBcgHL	I 105 dBeHL
OE	85 dBnHL	75 dBeHL	105 dBeHL	105 dBnHL

Conclusão: Resultados indicam integridade de via auditiva até Colículo Inferior bilateralmente com perda auditiva sensorioneural de grau profundo bilateralmente.

ABR-FS + AESS - 2 months

Failed NHS 40 weeks; 3,585kg; 50cm In vitro fertilization **Diag**: 2 months **Etiology**: unknown **H Aids and Intervention:** 3 months





VRA-8 months e 11 months



Case Mb

Auditory, Speech and Language Development Pre-implant







Case Jo



9 anos







Clinical Implications

- Audibility Capacity compared to Performance: The contribution of a parameter of audibility can be used for determination of ICF in core sets involving candidacy for cochlear implants, particularly if working with a limited budget.
- Children: Audibility and oral language development predicted and observed. Consideration of cognitive, emotional and motor development, to provide parameters for local therapists, and adjust expectations.
- Adults: Audibility and performance in speech perception tasks. Verification, consistency of use, personal and professional demands. Context to understand specific needs and make decisions.
- Emphasis on series of therapy sessions with participation of parents, sons, daughters and spouses for expectation adjustments.











Staff and Faculty Alfredo Tabith Jr Altair C. Pupo (Lila) Beatriz C. A. Mendes Beatriz C. A. Novaes Clay Rienzo Balieiro Doris Ruthi Lewis Fernando L. Carvalho e Silva Luisa Barzaghi Ficker M. Angelina Nardi Martinez Mariana Fávero Vera Cury Undergarduate and Graduate Students









